A Comprehensive Guide to Conducting Archival Research

Archival research stands as a cornerstone of inquiry across numerous disciplines, offering unparalleled access to the raw materials of history, culture, and societal development. It is a meticulous process that involves engaging with primary source materials housed in dedicated repositories. This guide provides an expert-level overview of how to conduct archival research, from foundational concepts and planning stages through to source analysis, ethical considerations, and appropriate citation of findings.

1. Understanding Archival Research: Foundations and Significance

Archival research is more than just visiting a dusty room full of old papers; it is a systematic engagement with the past, grounded in specific principles and offering unique avenues for discovery.

1.1. Defining Archival Research and Its Core Principles

Archival research is fundamentally research involving primary sources held in an archives, a Special Collections library, or another type of repository. These primary sources are incredibly diverse, encompassing manuscripts, official documents, various records (including electronic records), physical objects, sound and audiovisual materials, and other items that provide direct evidence of past events or activities.[1] This methodological framework is employed across the social sciences, humanities, and other fields to systematically investigate, interpret, and analyze these historical materials. The overarching goal is to achieve a deeper understanding of the past, societal structures, cultural phenomena, and human behavior.[3] The international field of archival science, often termed *archivistique*, particularly in French-language scholarship, offers further conceptual depth. It characterizes archival information as being inherently fixed within a document that supports an identified activity. This is a key distinction from information found in libraries or general documentation, where the information itself can be the end goal. In contrast, an archive "supports an activity" (*supporte une activité*), meaning its purpose is intrinsically linked to the process or function that created it.[4] This principle of provenance—the origin or creator of the records—is paramount. Archival science also grapples with large, heterogeneous collections of materials and is fundamentally concerned with the passage of time, particularly the life cycle of an archive from its creation to its potential disposition or permanent preservation.[4] The field encompasses the theory of archival organization (rooted in provenance), the material conservation of records, and the operational aspects of archival services, sometimes extending to the societal role of the archivist.[4]

The very nature of primary sources, defined as first-hand or contemporary accounts created

by individuals or entities present at the time of an event [6], is inextricably linked to this concept of "supporting an activity." A document serves as direct evidence precisely because it was an integral part of an activity, rather than being created retrospectively for research purposes. This understanding highlights that discerning the original purpose and context of creation is essential for the accurate interpretation of any primary source. Consequently, an "archive" is not merely a haphazard accumulation of historical artifacts. Instead, it represents a curated or organically formed body of evidence tied to specific creators and their functions, a reality that dictates the archive's internal structure, its scope, and the potential biases embedded within it. The definition of archival research, therefore, is not entirely uniform; it can vary subtly based on different disciplinary needs and national scholarly traditions. For instance, Anglo-American approaches often pragmatically focus on the use of archives for research, while European traditions may place a stronger theoretical emphasis on the intrinsic nature and context of the archival record itself.

1.2. The Unique Value and Purpose of Archival Inquiry

The pursuit of archival research is driven by its unique capacity to illuminate the past. Researchers utilize archival materials to gain profound insights into specific historical events, particular periods, or the lives of individuals, thereby opening a window onto the past and allowing for an examination of the context in which historical occurrences unfolded.[7] Beyond simply recounting past events, archival research generates knowledge about historical contexts that resonate in the present day. It aids in constructing an understanding of the processes of change and helps to answer the fundamental question of "how we got where we are today".[8]

The purpose and value of archival inquiry have also expanded beyond traditional academic confines. Participatory archival research, for example, has emerged as a powerful method for building collective knowledge about the lived experiences of communities, particularly those that have been underrepresented or marginalized in mainstream historical narratives. Such approaches can bridge past, present, and future generations, making visible the artifacts of the past that illuminate the root causes of contemporary problems and actively counter the erasure or misrepresentation of histories.[8] This engagement can be a form of empowerment, allowing communities to reclaim and tell their own stories. The act of doing archival research, in this sense, can contribute to "people power".[8]

However, the journey into the archives is not without its challenges. As noted from a German researcher's perspective, archival work can be "langwierig, zugleich spannend, überraschend, teils zermürbend" (tedious, yet exciting, surprising, partly grueling).[9] Archives themselves are often described as "Gedächtnisspeicher" (memory stores) [9], repositories of collective memory that require dedication and perseverance to unlock. The inherent value of archives lies not only in the information they contain but also in their potential to challenge dominant narratives and recover voices that have been lost or suppressed. The demanding nature of this work can sometimes act as a barrier, potentially favoring researchers with greater resources or time. Approaches like participatory archival research aim to mitigate such barriers, fostering a more inclusive engagement with historical records.

1.3. Distinguishing Primary Sources in Archival Contexts

At the heart of archival research lies the primary source, defined as a first-hand or contemporary account of an event or topic. These sources are the most direct evidence available because they were created by people or entities that were present or directly involved in the event or time period being studied. Crucially, primary sources offer original thought or data and have not been modified by subsequent interpretation.[6]

Archival repositories house an astonishing variety of primary source formats. These include, but are not limited to, textual documents such as business and personal correspondence, diaries and journals, legal and financial records, and literary works. Visual materials are also abundant, encompassing photographs, maps, architectural drawings, and films. Audio materials like sound recordings, physical objects and artifacts, and increasingly, digital media, further diversify the archival landscape.[10] It is important to recognize that even published materials, such as books (memoirs, autobiographies, or collections of published documents) and pamphlets, can function as primary sources depending on the research context and how they are being interrogated.[10] The distinction between a primary and a secondary source can thus be fluid, contingent more on the research question being asked than on the inherent format of the material. For example, a history textbook is typically a secondary source, but if the research focuses on the history of textbook publishing or historiographical trends, that same textbook becomes a primary source.

A particular category of primary sources known as ephemera—items like tickets, advertising cards, labels, or even digital social media updates—can be exceptionally valuable. Their significance often stems from the "improbability of their survival" and their "transient, and unselfconscious" nature.[10] Because they were not typically created with an eye toward long-term preservation or public scrutiny, these items can offer uniquely unfiltered glimpses into everyday life, unstated assumptions, or the mundane realities of a particular time and place, aspects that more formal or self-conscious documents might obscure. This "unselfconscious" quality can also apply to routine administrative or business records, which were created to facilitate an activity rather than to project a particular image for posterity. Researchers must therefore be prepared to encounter and analyze a wide spectrum of material types, which may necessitate a range of methodological approaches, from textual analysis to visual interpretation or material culture studies.

1.4. Theoretical Perspectives: Insights from International Archival Science (*Archivistique*)

A deeper understanding of archival research benefits from an awareness of the theoretical underpinnings of archival science, or *archivistique*, particularly as developed in European, and notably French-language, scholarship. Thinkers in this tradition have provided robust intellectual frameworks for comprehending the nature of archives that extend beyond the more pragmatic Anglo-American focus on archival research as primarily a methodology. According to Marie-Anne Chabin, research in archivistics (*recherche en archivistique*) is driven by an "irresistible urge to search" and the necessity of finding a means to disseminate

the findings.[4] Michel Duchein, a prominent theorist, identified three principal domains within archivistics: the theory of archival organization (which is fundamentally based on the principle of provenance, or the origin of the records), the material conservation of archives, and the operational functioning of archival services.[4]

Several key characteristics define *archivistique*. First, information is seen as fixed within a document that supports an identified activity, distinguishing it from library materials designed for dissemination or documentation created for its own sake. Second, archivistics applies to heterogeneous masses of archives, whose coherence is defined by their common provenance or producer, rather than by subject matter. Third, the discipline is predominated by the concept of the passage of time and the life cycle of the archival record.[4] Consequently, *archivistique* tends to exclude "absolute information" (information not tied to a specific context of creation) and information primarily designed for broad dissemination, although the boundaries can become less distinct in electronic environments.[4]

Specific areas of concern for archival science include the study of the document as a form of proof (diplomatics), particularly in light of technological and cultural evolutions; the critical process of selecting useful information for preservation (appraisal or *évaluation*); and the methods for ensuring the long-term preservation of the archival context (such as maintaining the original order of records or capturing essential metadata for electronic records).[4]

Canadian scholars Ducharme and Couture have further elaborated on research fields within archivistics, highlighting areas such as the nature of the archival object (information, document, archive) and its purpose; the archival discipline and profession; the history of archival institutions and foundational principles (like *fonds d'archives* and the life cycle); the critical function of evaluation or appraisal (which some, like Craig, Cox, and Samuels, view as the archivist's primary responsibility); the challenges and opportunities presented by electronic archives and automated description systems; and the assessment of information quality.[5]

This theoretical depth provides researchers with a more nuanced understanding of *why* archives are structured, managed, and described in particular ways. The role of the archivist, especially in appraisal (deciding what to keep and what to discard) and description (creating finding aids and catalog entries), is an active one that shapes the historical record *before* the researcher even encounters it. This process is a critical "pre-interpretation" stage. An understanding of archival theories, such as the "principe de respect des fonds" (the principle of respecting the integrity of the archival grouping based on its creator) [5], helps a researcher comprehend why materials from the same source are kept together, even if they cover diverse subjects. This "archival intelligence" can lead to more sophisticated research questions and more insightful interpretations of the materials found.

2. Navigating the Archival Landscape: Types of Repositories and Materials

The journey of archival research begins with understanding where to look and what one might find. The archival world is diverse, comprising various types of institutions, each with its own

mission, collections, and access policies.

2.1. Identifying Different Types of Archival Institutions

Archival repositories are not monolithic; they vary widely in their mandates, the scope of their collections, and the audiences they primarily serve. The Society of American Archivists identifies several common types of archives, and understanding these distinctions is crucial for researchers to strategically locate materials relevant to their inquiries.[2] The primary mission of an archive directly influences its collection development policies, access regulations, and even the nature of its descriptive practices (finding aids). For instance, an archive primarily serving a parent institution, such as a university or corporation, may have different access conditions or priorities than a government archive or historical society with a broad public service mandate.

Common types of archival institutions include:

- **College and University Archives**: These repositories preserve materials relating to specific academic institutions, including administrative records, faculty papers, student life documentation, and publications. They primarily serve their parent institutions and alumni, with public access often being a secondary consideration. Many also house "special collections," which may include rare books, manuscripts, and archives of individuals or organizations not directly affiliated with the institution but relevant to its research strengths (e.g., Stanford University Archives, Mount Holyoke College Archives).[2]

- **Corporate Archives**: These are archival departments within a company or corporation tasked with managing and preserving the records of that business. Their primary function is to serve the needs of company staff and support business objectives. Public access to corporate archives varies significantly depending on company policy and staff availability.[2]

- **Government Archives**: These institutions collect and preserve materials relating to local, state, or national government entities. Their holdings can include legislative records, executive documents, judicial records, and materials from various government agencies (e.g., The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) in the US, the Franklin D. Roosevelt Presidential Library and Museum, the New York State Archives, City of Boston Archives).[2]

- **Historical Societies**: These organizations are dedicated to preserving and promoting interest in the history of a specific region, a historical period, non-governmental organizations, or a particular subject. Their collections often focus on a state or community and may also include some governmental records (e.g., The Wisconsin Historical Society, the National Railway Historical Society).[2]

- **Museums and Archives**: While museums and archives share the goal of preserving items of historical significance, museums generally place a greater emphasis on exhibiting these items and often maintain diverse collections of artifacts or artwork rather than focusing primarily on textual records. However, many museums contain significant archival holdings, and conversely, some archives incorporate museum

elements.[2]

- **Religious Archives**: These archives pertain to the traditions, institutions, or individual places of worship of various faiths and denominations. The materials they store may be publicly accessible or may exist primarily to serve members of the specific faith or the institution that created them (e.g., United Methodist Church Archives, American Jewish Archives).[2]

It is important to note that the distinctions between these categories are not always rigid. A university archive might hold the extensive papers of a prominent literary figure (a special collection), a local historical society might be the designated repository for certain municipal government records, and a specialized museum could possess a comprehensive photographic archive related to its subject area. Therefore, researchers should not assume strict demarcations and may need to explore multiple types of repositories depending on their research topic. A nuanced understanding of the funding sources, primary audiences, and collection development policies of different archives—what might be termed the "political economy" of archives—can be invaluable for strategic searching and for critically evaluating the nature and scope of the materials they hold.

Table 1: Overview of Archive Types and Their Typical Holdings

Archive Type	Primary Mission/Audience	Typical Holdings/Material Focus	General Public Access Level (Varies)
College & University Archives	Serve parent institution, alumni, scholars	Institutional records, faculty papers, student life, special collections (e.g., literary manuscripts, rare books)	Often good, may require appointment
Corporate Archives	Serve company staff, advance business goals	Business records, product development, marketing, internal communications, executive papers	Limited to restricted
Government Archives	Serve government agencies, public citizens, researchers	Official records of government bodies (legislative, executive, judicial), agency files, maps, photographs, public documents	Generally high, with procedures
Historical Societies	Preserve/promote regional/topical history for public/members	Local history materials, family papers, organizational records, photographs, ephemera, sometimes	Usually good, often community-focused

		local government records	
Museums (with archives)	Public exhibition, education, preservation of artifacts	Artifacts, artwork, photographs, and associated documentation; archival collections related to museum's subject area	Varies; research access by appointment
Religious Archives	Serve faith community, denomination, researchers	Records of religious organizations, clergy papers, membership records, publications, theological writings	Varies widely

2.2. A Survey of Archival Material Formats

The materials housed within archives are extraordinarily diverse, reflecting the myriad ways in which information and evidence have been recorded and preserved over time. The term "archives," when referring to documents, generally denotes the records created or received and maintained by an institution or organization in the course of its operations. The term "manuscripts," originally referring to handwritten items, now also encompasses a body of papers belonging to an individual or a family.[10] While these terms have distinct origins, they are often used interchangeably in practice to describe the wide array of primary source formats a researcher might encounter.

This diversity necessitates a range of analytical skills from the researcher, who might need to decipher historical handwriting, interpret cartographic symbols, analyze visual imagery, or understand complex datasets. Common formats of archival and manuscript materials include [10]:

- **Textual Records**: This is often the most voluminous category and includes items such as business and personal correspondence, diaries and journals, legal documents (contracts, wills, court records), financial documents (ledgers, receipts, account books), and literary works (drafts, manuscripts).
- **Visual Materials**: These encompass photographs (prints, negatives, slides), maps, architectural drawings and plans, films (motion pictures), paintings, prints (woodcuts, engravings), and various forms of graphic arts (posters, trade cards). The format itself can carry inherent perspectives; for instance, maps are subjective representations shaped by the mapmaker's viewpoint and purpose.[10]
- **Audio Materials**: Sound recordings, whether on discs, tapes, or digital files, capture spoken words, music, and ambient sounds.
- **Material Objects and Artifacts**: Archives, particularly those associated with museums or historical societies, may hold three-dimensional objects such as war memorabilia,

household items, clothing, tools, or inscribed tablets. These artifacts can convey significant information about past lives and practices.
- **Published Materials**: While often considered secondary sources, items like books, pamphlets, and serials (magazines, newspapers, scholarly journals) can function as primary sources depending on the research question. For example, a contemporary newspaper account of an event is a primary source for that event.
- **Data**: This category includes information gathered from experiments, simulations, modeling, or various observational methods, such as geospatial data, climate records, and survey information.
- **Ephemera**: These are materials typically intended for temporary or short-lived use, such as tickets, programs, brochures, labels, and even digital items like social media status updates. Their value often lies in their unselfconscious reflection of everyday life and the improbability of their survival.[10]
- **Digital Media**: A rapidly growing category that includes born-digital records (e.g., emails, websites, databases) as well as digitized versions of analog materials.
- **Government Documents**: These comprise a wide range of materials generated by governmental bodies, including legislative debates and hearings, the official texts of laws and regulations, records of government expenditures, statistical compilations like census data, investigative reports, and scientific data.
- **Music**: This includes manuscript scores, printed music, and related documents.

The increasing prevalence of digital media and complex datasets as archival formats presents both new opportunities and challenges for researchers. These materials require digital literacy, potentially specialized data analysis skills, and an awareness of issues such as format obsolescence and the intricacies of digital preservation. Researchers should anticipate encountering a "mixed-media" environment within a single archival collection, where traditional paper documents might sit alongside photographs, audio recordings, and digital files, necessitating methodological flexibility.

2.3. Understanding the Scope and Content of Archival Collections

To navigate the often vast and complex holdings of an archive, researchers rely heavily on descriptive tools created by archivists. A key component of these tools, particularly within finding aids, is the "Scope and Content Note." This note provides an in-depth narrative discussion of a record group, collection, or series of archival materials, designed to help users determine the potential relevance of the materials to their research interests.[11]

According to guidelines, such as those from the U.S. National Archives and Records Administration, Scope and Content Notes should be written from an objective viewpoint, be precise and brief, and offer a general summary of the broad topics and/or types of records found within the collection or series. Critically, these notes should identify who created the archival materials, who or what the materials are about (i.e., the persons, organizations, or subjects they relate to), any other significant contributors to the production or authorship of the materials, and the general time periods covered by the records.[11] For example, a Scope and Content Note for Ernest Hemingway's papers might detail the types of manuscripts

present (novels, short stories, articles), the nature of correspondence, and other miscellaneous items like fishing logs or bullfight tickets, giving a sense of the collection's breadth.[11]

There are also important guidelines regarding what Scope and Content Notes should *not* contain. They are generally not the place for detailed historical accounts of the creating organization or extensive biographical information about an individual creator; such information typically belongs in separate authority records or biographical notes. Furthermore, to avoid generating "false hits" in online catalog searches and to manage researcher expectations, Scope and Content Notes should avoid describing what is *not* present in a collection. For instance, instead of stating "These materials relate to all wars fought by the United States in the twentieth century, except for the Persian Gulf War," the note should positively describe the wars that *are* documented.[11] Information about gaps in date ranges is usually placed in a separate "Date Note".[11] For discoverability, key topics, people, organizations, geographic places, languages, and record types mentioned in the Scope and Content Note should also be assigned as subject terms or other access points in the archival catalog.[11]

While intended to be objective summaries, Scope and Content Notes are nonetheless interpretations crafted by archivists. The process of summarization inherently involves selection, and an archivist's own understanding, institutional priorities, or even time constraints might lead them to emphasize certain aspects of a large or complex collection over others. This means that while these notes provide essential orientation, they should be used as a starting point rather than the definitive word on a collection's contents. The instruction to "not describe what is not present" is practical for search functionality but implies that researchers cannot rely solely on these notes to understand omissions or silences within a collection. They must actively look for what might be missing based on their own contextual knowledge of the subject. Therefore, a thorough examination of more detailed parts of a finding aid, such as folder lists, alongside critical thinking about the collection's origin and the potential biases of its creator, are necessary complements to the Scope and Content Note.

3. The Archival Research Journey: A Step-by-Step Guide

Archival research is a multi-stage process that requires careful planning, methodical execution, and thoughtful analysis. It can be broadly divided into three phases: preparation and planning, work within the archives, and post-visit analysis and synthesis.

Phase 1: Preparation and Planning

Thorough preparation is paramount for a successful archival research experience. This initial phase involves defining the research focus, identifying relevant repositories, and making logistical arrangements.

3.1.1. Formulating Effective Research Questions

The cornerstone of any focused archival investigation is a well-defined research question. Before embarking on archival visits, it is crucial to clearly articulate research objectives. This involves refining broad topics into specific, guiding research questions that will direct the study.[3] Researchers should establish clear goals, such as whether the aim is to challenge existing historical narratives, offer a new perspective on a known subject, or fill identified gaps in current knowledge. Setting the scope and boundaries of the inquiry—including the relevant timeframe, geographical area, or specific aspects of the topic under investigation—is also essential.[3] Furthermore, an assessment of the significance and relevance of the research objectives helps to ensure that the potential findings will contribute meaningfully to existing scholarship or address pertinent societal concerns.[3]

The process of developing a research question is often iterative. It typically begins with selecting a broad topic of genuine interest. Initial questions about this topic can then be progressively narrowed through background reading of general secondary sources (which may not necessarily be cited in the final research output but serve to provide an overview).[12] As familiarity with the topic and existing literature grows, more specific, clear, and, importantly, answerable research questions can be formulated.[2] These questions should ideally delve into the "how" and "why" of a phenomenon and consider its broader significance—the "so what?" factor.[12]

It is also vital to hypothesize where the archival research might lead and to consider whether the necessary archival resources are likely to be available and accessible.[12] This involves thinking critically about what sorts of archival sources—such as correspondence, diaries, official reports, or newspapers—might contain relevant information. Researchers should also ponder who would have created such documents, for what purpose, in what original context, and where these materials might now be located.[12]

A key aspect of this formulation process is its dynamic nature. While a clear research question provides direction, the archival research itself can, and often does, lead to new discoveries that may necessitate a refinement or adjustment of the initial objectives.[3] Flexibility is therefore crucial; the archive can "speak back" to the researcher, revealing unexpected paths or challenging preconceived notions. A strong archival research question skillfully balances intellectual ambition with practical feasibility. A brilliant question is of little use if the archival evidence required to answer it does not exist, has been destroyed, or is inaccessible. Vague research questions can lead to inefficient and overwhelming archival searches, while overly rigid questions may result in disappointment if precisely anticipated documents are not found.[12]

3.1.2. Strategies for Locating Relevant Archives

Once a research question begins to take shape, the next step is to identify archives that are likely to hold relevant materials. This search process often begins with utilizing library catalogs, online databases, and specialized archival directories. Consulting with knowledgeable librarians and archivists can also provide invaluable leads.[3] Examining the

bibliographies and works cited sections in existing scholarly books and articles on the topic can reveal which repositories other experts have used.[2] Contacting these experts directly or exploring websites dedicated to the research topic may also yield information about relevant archives.[14]

The digital age has provided an array of powerful tools for locating archives worldwide. However, it is important to remember that while these digital tools often provide the first point of discovery, they frequently point to physical collections that require on-site research. Furthermore, not all archival collections are digitized or have a robust online presence; thus, traditional methods of discovery remain vital. Researchers should employ a multi-pronged strategy, combining broad database searches with targeted inquiries informed by existing scholarship and expert advice. It is also worth noting that the "discoverability" of an archive online can depend on its institutional resources for digitization and metadata creation, which may introduce a bias towards larger, better-funded institutions in online search results.

Table 2: Key Online Databases, Catalogs, and Portals for Locating Archives Worldwide

Tool Name	Type/Scope	Key Features/Benefits for Researchers	URL (if available/general)
WorldCat	Global Library Catalog	World's largest library catalog; finds materials in libraries globally; often links to finding aids for archival collections.	www.worldcat.org
ArchiveGrid	Archival Collection Descriptions (OCLC)	Millions of collection descriptions from thousands of libraries, archives, and museums worldwide.	researchworks.oclc.org/archivegrid
Archives Portal Europe	European Archival Gateway	Centralized access to information on archival material from different European countries and archival institutions.	www.archivesportaleurope.net
The National Archives (UK) Discovery	UK National Archives Portal	Catalog of records from The National Archives (UK) and over 2,500 other UK archives.	discovery.nationalarchives.gov.uk
NARA Catalog (USA)	US National Archives Portal	Catalog of holdings of the National Archives and Records	catalog.archives.gov

		Administration, including regional archives and presidential libraries.	
Library of Congress (USA)	US National Library Portal	Vast collections, including manuscripts, maps, photographs; home to NUCMC.	www.loc.gov
NUCMC (National Union Catalog of Manuscript Collections)	US Manuscript Collection Catalog (via LOC)	Descriptions of manuscript and archival collections from a wide variety of American repositories.	www.loc.gov/coll/nucmc/
ARCHIVESCANADA.ca	Canadian Archival Gateway	Access to archival resources from over 800 repositories across Canada.	www.archivescanada.ca
Gallica (Bibliothèque nationale de France)	French National Library Digital Library	Millions of digitized documents including books, manuscripts, maps, images, and sound recordings.	gallica.bnf.fr
Bundesarchiv (Germany)	German Federal Archives Portal	Access to federal German archival records, including online finding aids and some digitized materials.	www.bundesarchiv.de
Internet Archive	Digital Library & Web Archive	Vast collection of digitized books, audio, video, and archived websites (Wayback Machine).	www.archive.org
HathiTrust Digital Library	Collaborative Digital Library	Millions of digitized items from research libraries worldwide, including books and serials.	www.hathitrust.org
Archive Finder	Archival Listings (Subscription often required)	Listings from numerous American and British archives.	(Varies by provider)
Archives Wiki	Collaborative Archival	Links to archives	archiveswiki.historians.

(American Historical Association)	Information Resource	globally with researcher commentary and advice.	org
Regional/State Consortia (Examples)	US-based regional archival networks	E.g., Online Archive of California (OAC), Archives West, Texas Archival Resources Online (TARO). Provides aggregated access.	(Varies by consortium)
Union Catalogs (General)	Combined catalogs of multiple libraries/archives	E.g., K10plus (Germany), Library Hub Discover (UK). Useful for broad searches across institutional boundaries.	(Varies)

3.1.3. Initial Contact and Access Procedures

After identifying potentially relevant archives, the next crucial step is to make initial contact and understand their access procedures. Each archival institution operates under its own set of rules and policies, so proactive inquiry is essential.[3] Researchers should carefully review any available information on the archive's website regarding access policies, registration requirements, reading room rules, and any potential fees.

Practical considerations significantly shape research feasibility. These include the archive's location, the researcher's available resources for travel and accommodation, and any associated costs.[3] Some repositories offer competitive travel fellowships or other forms of funding to support researchers, and it is always worthwhile to investigate such opportunities.[2]

There are typically several options for accessing archival materials [2]:

1. **Visiting the repository in person**: This is often the most effective way to conduct in-depth research, allowing for direct engagement with the materials and interaction with archival staff.
2. **Ordering reproductions**: Many archives offer services for providing paper or electronic copies (photocopies, scans) of specific documents, though fees usually apply.
3. **Hiring a proxy researcher**: If an in-person visit is not possible, some researchers hire local independent researchers to access materials on their behalf. Some archives may provide lists of such individuals.[2]

The initial contact with an archivist represents a critical opportunity. Archivists are not merely gatekeepers but knowledgeable professionals who can offer invaluable assistance. A well-crafted inquiry, clearly outlining the research topic and specific interests, can help

archivists assess the relevance of their collections, guide the researcher to pertinent finding aids or specific series of records, and inform them about any access conditions or restrictions.[3] For instance, when ordering copies remotely from an institution like NARA, researchers often need to provide exact citations to specific documents, which can be very difficult without prior in-person research. NARA staff may perform limited searches or suggest hiring an independent researcher if the request is too broad or lacks specificity.[15]

Access to archival materials is not always guaranteed and can be subject to various conditions. Materials may be restricted due to fragility, requiring researchers to use surrogates like microfilm or digital copies. Donor agreements might impose restrictions on access or use for a specified period, particularly for sensitive personal or organizational records. Furthermore, some collections may be unprocessed or only partially processed, limiting immediate availability. Researchers must therefore be prepared for such contingencies and maintain flexibility in their research plans, reinforcing the need for adaptable research questions.

Phase 2: In the Archives - Discovery and Documentation

Once access to an archive has been arranged, the on-site (or remote, if working with digitized materials) phase of discovery and documentation begins. This involves navigating descriptive tools, handling materials appropriately, and systematically recording information.

3.2.1. Deciphering Finding Aids

Finding aids are the primary tools that archivists create to describe and provide access to archival collections. They serve as roadmaps, helping users locate specific information within a record group, collection, or series of archival materials.[2] Finding aids can take various forms, including published or unpublished inventories, container (box) and folder lists, card catalogs, calendars of documents, indexes, registers, and institutional guides.[16] They can be created by the archival institution itself, by the government agencies or private organizations that generated the records, or even by external publishers.[16]

A typical modern finding aid, often structured according to standards like Encoded Archival Description (EAD), contains several key components designed to contextualize the collection and detail its contents.[2] These usually include:

- An **abstract** or brief summary of the collection.
- A **biographical note** (for personal papers) or **historical note** (for organizational records), providing context about the creator(s) of the materials.
- A **collection-level scope and contents note**, which describes the overall nature, subject matter, date range, and types of materials in the collection, often highlighting its importance or uniqueness.
- **Scope and contents notes for individual series and/or subseries**, offering more detailed descriptions of these smaller, thematically or functionally related groupings of records within the collection.
- A **container list**, which itemizes the contents of each box and folder.

Learning to "read" a finding aid effectively is a core archival research skill. It involves more

than just searching for keywords; it requires understanding the hierarchical structure of the collection (e.g., collection > record group > series > sub-series > file unit > item), carefully interpreting the scope and content notes at various levels, and using the container list to strategically identify and request specific materials for examination. It is also important to recognize that finding aids are themselves historical documents. Older finding aids might lack the level of detail found in contemporary ones or may use terminology that is now outdated or even considered harmful.[16] The structure of a finding aid often reflects the original order and intellectual arrangement of the records, which in turn provides clues about the creator's activities and how they organized their information. This connection to the principle of provenance means the finding aid's structure is not arbitrary but is imbued with information about the records' genesis and use, which can be vital for interpretation.[4]

3.2.2. Protocols for Handling Archival Materials (especially fragile items)

Archival materials are often unique and irreplaceable, and many can be fragile due to age, inherent vice of the materials, or past use. Therefore, archives enforce strict handling protocols to ensure the long-term preservation of their collections. Researcher compliance with these rules is an ethical responsibility and a mark of professional conduct.

General guidelines for handling archival materials typically include [19]:

- **Cleanliness**: Ensuring hands are clean before handling any materials. Some archives require washing hands, while others provide gloves.
- **Gloves**: Wearing cotton or latex gloves is often mandatory when handling vulnerable materials such as photographs, negatives, films, audiotapes, videotapes, and certain types of electronic records, as oils and acids from fingerprints can cause irreversible damage over time.
- **Supports**: Using book cradles or supports for bound volumes to prevent stress on the binding. Fragile paper documents should be supported with a piece of stiff, acid-free archival board when being handled or turned over.
- **Workspace**: Working in a designated, clean, and well-lighted reading room. Researchers are usually permitted to work with only one box and one folder of materials on the table at a time to prevent accidental mixing or damage. The workspace should be adequately sized to allow room for the materials, note-taking, and any permitted equipment.
- **Careful Handling**: Avoiding actions that could damage documents, such as sliding documents on top of each other (which can cause abrasion), lifting documents tightly by their edges, folding or rolling documents to make them easier to handle, or carrying documents insecurely. Food and drink are almost universally prohibited in reading rooms.

For particularly **fragile items**, additional precautions are necessary [19]:

- **Minimize Handling**: If good quality copies (microfilm, photocopies, digital scans) are available, researchers are often required or encouraged to use these surrogates to reduce handling of the delicate originals.
- **Conservator Intervention**: Severely damaged, folded, or torn documents may require

intervention by a professional conservator to be safely opened, flattened, or stabilized before they can be handled by researchers.

- **Protective Enclosures**: Non-friable (i.e., media that won't smear, like ink on paper, as opposed to charcoal, pencil, or pastel) torn or folded documents can sometimes be placed in polyester (Mylar) L-sleeves or other inert plastic enclosures by archival staff to allow for safer handling until conservation treatment is possible.
- **Smearable Media**: Documents with friable or smearable media, such as charcoal drawings, pastels, or some pencil inscriptions, require extreme care. Their surfaces should never directly touch another document, the inside of a folder, or a hand. Sink mats (mats with a well cut into the backing to hold the item and prevent surface abrasion) may be used, or researchers may be provided with copies for reference purposes.

It is also useful for researchers to understand the ideal storage conditions that archives strive to maintain, as this informs the handling rules. These include cool temperatures (generally below 75°F or 24°C), stable relative humidity (ideally below 65% to prevent mold, but not below 15% which can cause brittleness), and protection from light, pests, and pollutants.[20] Materials are typically housed in acid-free, lignin-free folders and boxes of appropriate sizes to prevent shifting or bending.[20] Researchers should be aware that some well-intentioned actions, like attempting to deacidify a document with a spray or automatically encapsulating brittle items in polyester, can be harmful if not done correctly or if inappropriate for the specific material (e.g., alkaline buffering can damage blueprints, cyanotypes, and some photographs).[19] When in doubt, always consult with archival staff. The fragility of certain materials may mean that the research experience involves interaction with a representation (a copy) rather than the original, a factor to consider in interpretation.

3.2.3. Effective Note-Taking Strategies

Effective note-taking is a critical skill in archival research, forming the bridge between discovery and analysis. It is not merely about transcribing content but involves systematically capturing both the information within the documents and the contextual metadata essential for its interpretation and future citation. A clear plan for note-taking should be established before beginning work with the materials.[2]

Comprehensive notes for each consulted item or file should typically include [21]:

- **Repository Information**: Name of the institution.
- **Collection Identification**: Full title of the *fonds*, manuscript collection, or record group.
- **Structural Location**: Title of the series and/or file; box and folder numbers; any specific item numbers or reference codes.
- **Item Description**: An exact title or a clear description of the item (e.g., "Letter, John Doe to Jane Smith," "Photograph of Main Street," "Minutes of meeting").
- **Date(s) of Material**: The date(s) of the specific item being examined.
- **Content Notes**: Annotations regarding the information that is of interest to the research question. This might include summaries, paraphrases, or direct quotations.
- **Verbatim Transcriptions**: For any text that is intended to be quoted directly in the

research output, it should be transcribed verbatim, enclosed in quotation marks, with any original spellings or grammatical peculiarities preserved.

- **URLs or DOIs**: If accessing digitized material online, the persistent URL or Digital Object Identifier should be recorded.
- **Full Citation Details**: It is crucial to record complete citation information for *all* material consulted during the research visit, even for items that ultimately seem irrelevant or are not planned for direct use. This practice serves as a vital risk-management strategy, preventing the need for costly or time-consuming return visits if an overlooked item later becomes important.

Researchers should also consider creating summary notes for each major *fonds* or collection reviewed. These summaries might include observations on the chronological scope and internal arrangement of the materials, whether the entire collection or only parts were examined, a running list of items identified for potential use, and perhaps even a list of items or sections deemed irrelevant to the current inquiry after review.[21]

The process of note-taking should be purposeful and dynamic. It involves a continual oscillation between the researcher's evolving thesis or research questions and the discoveries made in the archive.[22] While the research question provides direction, new findings may lead to its revision or refinement. The goal is to maintain flexibility in exploring new paths of information without succumbing to tangents that lead too far afield from the core inquiry.[22] The act of deciding what to note, what to transcribe verbatim, and what to summarize is an initial stage of analysis and interpretation, guided by the research focus, rather than a neutral clerical task.

The medium for note-taking can vary according to researcher preference and the nature of the materials. Traditional methods include index cards, which are easily shuffled and organized, or full-sized paper notebooks. Increasingly, researchers use electronic devices such as laptops or tablets, which offer benefits like searchability, easy storage, and the ability to integrate notes with digital photographs of documents. However, if using electronic methods, frequent saving and creating reliable backups (electronic or hard-copy) are absolutely essential.[22] Regardless of the medium, meticulous record-keeping that links every piece of information to its precise archival provenance is paramount, as this metadata is as crucial as the content itself.

3.2.4. Utilizing Digital Photography and Requesting Reproductions

In contemporary archival research, digital photography by researchers has become a common practice, offering a convenient way to capture large volumes of material relatively quickly for later review. Many archives permit personal-use, non-flash photography in their reading rooms, although policies vary.[2] For instance, the U.S. National Archives (NARA) generally allows non-flash photography for personal use in public areas unless otherwise posted, but prohibits supplemental lighting, selfie sticks, and similar equipment.[23] Other archives may have stricter rules, often requiring explicit permission from an archivist before any photographs are taken, prohibiting photography of materials with access restrictions, allowing photos only under existing lighting conditions, and requiring special permission for tripods or

copy stands. Flashes and other external lighting equipment are almost universally forbidden to protect fragile documents.[24]

Researchers are often required to sign a form agreeing to the archive's photography policies and may need to track the photographs they take. A critical point to understand is that permission to photograph materials for personal reference or study does *not* automatically grant the right to reproduce, publish, or distribute those images further (e.g., in print, on the web, or in a commercial production). Such uses typically require separate permission from the archive and, more importantly, from the copyright holder(s) of the materials themselves. Fees may also apply for publication rights.[24] Some archives may even reserve the right to request copies of the images taken by researchers, at no cost to the institution, for their own purposes.[24]

While researcher photography offers speed and cost savings compared to ordering official reproductions for every item, the quality and completeness of these personal images can vary. Restrictions on lighting and equipment mean that images may sometimes be difficult to read or may miss crucial details, potentially necessitating requests for official, archivist-prepared reproductions later on. Researchers should develop a systematic approach to their archival photography, ensuring that they capture not only the document itself but also essential citation information, such as box and folder labels or title pages, alongside the content images.[24] Careful organization of these digital files post-visit is also crucial for efficient use.

Alternatively, or in addition to personal photography, researchers can often order reproductions (photocopies, digital scans) of materials directly from the archive.[2] This can be particularly useful for obtaining high-quality images or for materials that are too fragile or cumbersome for personal photography. Digital scans or photographs can facilitate detailed examination and analysis without repeatedly handling the original documents.[3] However, ordering reproductions often involves fees and may take time to process.

3.2.5. The Role and Methods of Transcription

Transcription—the process of creating a textual copy of a document—is another key method for extracting and working with information from archival sources. Researchers may create transcriptions if allowed by the archive, and these, along with digital scans or photographs, can facilitate more detailed and flexible analysis, especially for handwritten or difficult-to-read documents.[3]

The primary purpose of a transcription can influence its style and level of detail. For personal research, a researcher might create a simple rendering of the text. However, for broader accessibility or digital humanities projects, more rigorous standards may apply. For example, the U.S. National Archives provides detailed "Transcription Tips" for its citizen archivist programs, emphasizing that the goal is often to enhance searchability within their online catalog.[25] Their guidelines include:

- **Accuracy**: Type what you see, following the original layout as much as practical. Transcribe words exactly as they appear in the document, including original capitalization, abbreviations, and even misspelled words.

- **Formatting for Searchability**: While striving for accuracy, the primary aim for catalog transcription is to make the text searchable. Therefore, exact replication of original line breaks or hyphenation may be less critical than ensuring all words are captured.
- **Notations**: Use bracketed notations to indicate features like crossed-out text (e.g., [crossed out]), illegible words (e.g., [illegible]), or observations about the document (e.g., [stamp in blue ink]). Punctuation should be included exactly as it appears in the original, even if incorrect by modern standards.
- **Enhancements for Discovery**: If a name, place, or event is misspelled in the original, consider adding a tag or comment with the correct spelling to improve search results. Similarly, important words or phrases separated by hyphenation or within bracketed text can be tagged in their complete form.
- **Contribution**: Even partial transcription of a document can be valuable, as every transcribed word improves searchability and accessibility.[25]

Archival transcription offers numerous benefits beyond individual research needs. It helps to preserve historical information by creating a digital surrogate that safeguards against the deterioration of original physical documents. It significantly increases accessibility, for instance, by allowing individuals with visual impairments to use screen readers to access handwritten text, or by providing transcripts for audio or video recordings for those with hearing disabilities. Transcribed documents become keyword searchable, dramatically reducing the time and effort needed to locate specific information within large collections and enabling new forms of research through digital tools like text analysis software. Transcription also supports diverse research disciplines, fosters educational engagement by allowing direct interaction with primary sources, helps preserve endangered languages and cultural artifacts, and facilitates legal and genealogical research.[26]

It is crucial to recognize, however, that transcription is an act of interpretation, not merely a neutral transfer of text. Choices made when deciphering challenging handwriting, representing layout features, or dealing with ambiguous passages inherently involve researcher judgment.[25] Therefore, whenever possible, researchers using transcriptions prepared by others should ideally try to consult an image of the original document to be aware of any nuances that may have been lost or any specific interpretive choices made during the transcription process.

Phase 3: Post-Visit - Analysis and Synthesis

The work of archival research does not conclude when the researcher leaves the archive or finishes digitizing materials. The subsequent phase of organizing, analyzing, and synthesizing the collected data is equally critical for transforming raw information into meaningful historical understanding.

3.3.1. Organizing and Managing Collected Data

Efficient organization and cataloging of the vast amounts of data often collected during archival research are fundamental for effective analysis and for future reference when writing and citing sources.[3] Without a systematic approach, researchers can quickly become

overwhelmed by notes, photocopies, and digital images, making it difficult to locate specific pieces of information or to see broader patterns.

It is advisable to maintain a structured database or catalog system for all collected materials. This involves developing detailed metadata for each document or item.[3] This metadata should, at a minimum, include the full citation information captured during the note-taking phase: repository name, collection title, series, box and folder numbers, item description, date, and any URLs for digitized items. Additional metadata might include keywords, subject tags, summaries of content, and notes on relevance to specific research questions. The organizational system for archival data should mirror the meticulousness of the note-taking process, ensuring that every piece of information remains inextricably linked to its precise archival provenance. Losing this link significantly diminishes the reliability and utility of the data.

The choice of organizational tools can vary based on the nature of the data and the researcher's preferences and planned analytical methods. Simple tools like spreadsheets can be effective for managing metadata and creating lists. More specialized research software, such as Zotero (for bibliographic management and note-taking), Evernote, or DevonThink (for organizing diverse file types and notes), can offer more powerful features. For researchers engaged in qualitative analysis of textual or visual data, Qualitative Data Analysis Software (QDAS) like NVivo or ATLAS.ti can be invaluable for coding, memoing, and identifying themes. The chosen tool should serve the research needs, not dictate them. Investing time in setting up a robust organizational system *before* being inundated with data is a crucial investment that pays dividends in efficiency and accuracy throughout the research and writing process. This system should also facilitate the easy retrieval of information needed for constructing arguments and accurately citing sources.

3.3.2. Synthesizing Information from Diverse Sources

Once the collected archival data is organized, the intellectual work of synthesis begins. Synthesis is the process of blending assertions (the researcher's claims or arguments), evidence (facts and proof drawn from the archival sources and other relevant materials), and commentary (the researcher's analysis connecting the evidence to the claims) to create a cohesive and persuasive narrative or argument.[27] It involves more than just stringing together summaries of individual documents; it requires integrating support from multiple sources to develop a particular idea or argument, while also identifying how these various sources relate to each other and to the overarching research question or thesis.[27]

There are several ways in which archival sources can be synthesized [27]:

- **Similarity**: Demonstrating how two or more sources corroborate each other or offer consistent perspectives on an event or issue.
- **Contrast**: Highlighting how different sources might support a main point in distinct ways, or how they might offer conflicting accounts or interpretations that need to be addressed or reconciled.
- **Accumulation**: Showing how one source or piece of evidence builds upon an idea or clue found in another, contributing to a more complete or nuanced understanding. This

is particularly relevant in archival research, where a letter might mention an event, a diary entry might provide a personal perspective on it, and an official report might offer a different angle, all of which can be woven together.

A structured approach can be helpful for managing the complexity of synthesizing large volumes of archival information.[28] This might involve:

1. **Multiple Readings**: Reading through collected source materials (notes, transcriptions, images) several times with different purposes: first to skim for big ideas, then for in-depth marking of key constructs or arguments, and finally for detailed, concept-focused note-taking.

2. **Concept-Based Note Restructuring**: After initial source-by-source note-taking, identify the key concepts, themes, or arguments that emerge across multiple sources. Then, restructure the notes around these concepts, gathering all relevant information from different sources under each conceptual heading. This allows the researcher to see connections, agreements, and disagreements more clearly. These concept-based notes, rather than the initial individual source notes, then become the primary reference for writing.

3. **Outlining**: Organizing these synthesized concepts into a logical outline that will form the structure of the research paper or report.

Synthesizing archival information often involves piecing together fragments from multiple, sometimes disparate, sources to construct a larger narrative or argument. Unlike synthesizing published secondary sources, which typically present already-analyzed information, archival synthesis may require more inferential work from the researcher due to the raw, unevaluated, and often incomplete nature of primary materials. It is at this stage that the researcher's analytical skills are most crucial, moving beyond describing individual documents to building an argument *using* those documents as evidence to support their claims.

4. Critical Engagement with Archival Sources

Accessing and collecting archival materials is only part of the research endeavor. The true value of archival research emerges from a critical engagement with these sources, which involves evaluating their authenticity and reliability, interpreting their meaning, and recognizing the inherent biases and potential silences within the archival record.

4.1. Evaluating Authenticity, Reliability, and Credibility

A fundamental responsibility of the archival researcher is to critically evaluate the sources they encounter. This involves assessing their authenticity (is the document what it purports to be?) and their reliability or credibility (can the information contained within the document be trusted?).[3] These are related but distinct considerations. For example, a genuine letter (authentic) might nonetheless contain unintentional inaccuracies or even deliberate falsehoods, making its content unreliable for certain purposes. Combining information from different types of documents—such as letters, official records, photographs, and personal diaries—can provide a more comprehensive understanding and allow for cross-verification.[3] Several tests and questions can be applied to evaluate document reliability [29]:

- **Physical Characteristics and Provenance**: What type of document is it (e.g., official letter, personal diary, newspaper editorial, map)? Does it possess any unique physical qualities, such as distinctive letterhead, handwriting, seals, or notations? What is its date (or dates of creation, sending, annotation, receipt)? For whom was it created or to what audience was it addressed? Understanding the provenance of a document—its origin, custody, and the context of its creation—is a key factor in assessing both its authenticity and reliability.
- **Content Analysis**: What are the author's or creator's main points or purpose in creating the document? What specific evidence or examples within the document help to determine why it was written? Are there any significant questions or issues that the document leaves unanswered?
- **Tests of Validity and Reliability**:
  - **Relevance**: Is the evidence presented in the document directly relevant to the claim being made or the research question being investigated?
  - **Recency**: Has the situation described by the evidence changed significantly since the document was created? (While age alone doesn't disqualify evidence, changed circumstances might affect its applicability).
  - **Validity (Authenticity)**: Is the document genuine, or is there a possibility of it being a fraud or forgery?
  - **Identification**: Is the author or source of the document clearly identified? Is their position or title known? Historians generally do not rely on anonymous sources or hearsay for substantive claims.
  - **Expertise**: Is the source qualified by training, education, or direct experience to provide the evidence contained in the document?
  - **Bias**: Does the author or creator have a vested interest in the topic that might distort the evidence presented? Conversely, "reluctant testimony," where a source provides information against their own self-interest, can be particularly persuasive. It is important to note that biased sources do not always distort their evidence, but their perspective must be considered.
  - **Internal Consistency**: Do the various elements or statements within the document remain consistent with each other, or are there internal contradictions?
  - **External Consistency**: Is the evidence presented in the document consistent with information found in other qualified and reliable sources from the same period or on the same topic?.[29]

When evaluating primary sources, researchers should also try to determine any unspoken assumptions embedded within them and consider if anything about the author or creator might influence the validity or reliability of the source, such as whether the original source was commissioned or funded by an entity with a particular viewpoint.[30] For non-text-based primary sources like works of art, artifacts, films, photographs, or sound recordings, evaluative questions might include: When and where was the item created, and by whom? Why was it created, and was it part of a larger artistic or cultural movement? When and where was it first displayed or shown, and how did contemporaries respond to it? What is its

intended or perceived message?.[30] Critical evaluation is not a one-off step but an ongoing process that evolves as the researcher gathers more information and contextual understanding.

4.2. Interpreting Archival Documents: Questions to Ask

Once documents have been identified, accessed, and subjected to initial evaluation, the process of interpretation begins. This involves moving beyond a surface reading to delve into the deeper meanings, contexts, and implications of the archival materials.[31] Interpretation is fundamentally about understanding a document's context—both the context of its creation (who created it, why, when, where, how, and for whom) and its context within the archive (its relationship to other documents in the same collection or even across different collections). A series of probing questions can guide this interpretive process [31]:

- **Basic Identification**: What, precisely, is this document? Who created it? When, where, why, and how did they create it?
- **Communication**: What does the document communicate when viewed as a standalone object? What does it communicate when considered in relation to other documents within the same collection, or in relation to other sources consulted for the research?
- **Intertextuality**: Does this document fill any gaps in information found in other documents? Does it support or refute arguments or ideas encountered elsewhere?
- **Perspective and Bias**: What is the creator's viewpoint, perspective, or potential bias? How might this have shaped the content or presentation of the information?
- **Intended Audience**: For whom was this document originally created? How might the intended audience have influenced its form or content?
- **Silences and Omissions**: What is *not* communicated in the document? Are there notable omissions or silences? Why might certain information be absent? This is an advanced interpretive skill that requires reading "against the grain" and considering what voices or perspectives might be missing.
- **Researcher Reaction**: What is particularly interesting, surprising, or puzzling about this document? Such reactions can often be a starting point for deeper inquiry and analysis.

Elements of source evaluation, such as assessing author authority, intended audience and purpose, accuracy and completeness, and perspective or bias, are also integral to the interpretive act.[32] Interpretation is not simply about extracting "facts" from documents but about constructing meaning. This construction involves acknowledging the inherent subjectivity of the sources themselves and being mindful of the researcher's own interpretive lens and potential biases. The "interesting or surprising" elements encountered in a document can often serve as valuable entry points for uncovering more complex layers of meaning.

4.3. Recognizing and Addressing Bias and Silences in Archives

A critical aspect of engaging with archival sources is the awareness that no archive, and no individual archival document, is entirely free from bias. Researchers must remain vigilant for potential biases inherent in the sources themselves.[3] Archival records inevitably reflect the perspectives, assumptions, and prejudices of the era and the individuals or institutions that

created them. Furthermore, the language used in archival descriptions—the finding aids and catalog entries created by archivists—can also be biased or may employ harmful and discriminatory terminology, particularly in older descriptions.[18] Archivists' own personal biases, however unintentional, can also affect how collections are processed, described, and made accessible, thereby shaping the historical record that researchers encounter.[18]

The concept of "archival silences" refers to the gaps, erasures, or underrepresentation of certain groups, experiences, or histories within traditional archival holdings. Institutional archives have historically tended to collect and preserve the records and narratives of those in positions of power, often reflecting the perspectives of predominantly white, male, and socio-economically privileged creators.[33] Assuming that collecting institutions or the archival record itself is neutral or objective is a fallacy that does not benefit those groups who have been systematically marginalized or excluded from historical narratives.[33] Archival records may contain content that demonstrates the exclusion and oppression of Black, Indigenous, and other people of color, LGBTQ+ communities, and people with disabilities. They can reflect white supremacist, imperialist, and settler-colonial ideologies, and may include racist, sexist, misogynistic, and xenophobic opinions and attitudes, or be discriminatory towards diverse views on sexuality, gender, ability, and religion.[18]

Bias in archives operates at multiple levels:

1. **Creation of the Record**: Whose perspective was considered important enough to record? Whose voice was silenced or ignored at the point of creation?
2. **Selection and Appraisal**: What records were deemed worthy of long-term preservation by archivists or records creators, and what was discarded or destroyed? This appraisal process is a powerful act of selection.
3. **Description of the Record**: How were the preserved records organized, described, and cataloged? What terminology was used? Whose stories were highlighted or obscured in the descriptive process?
4. **Researcher's Interpretation**: How does the researcher's own background, assumptions, and research questions influence their interpretation of the available materials?

Recognizing these complex dynamics, many archival institutions and professionals are now actively working to counter bias and address silences in their collections and descriptive practices. These efforts include "reparative description" projects, which aim to identify and remediate harmful or outdated language in finding aids; prioritizing the acquisition of materials from diverse and underrepresented communities; partnering with these communities to ensure more accurate and respectful representation; and updating terminology in descriptions to reflect how communities describe themselves.[18] Participatory archival research methods can also play a role in addressing underrepresentation and giving voice to marginalized histories.[8]

For researchers, addressing silences is not just about finding "missing" documents (though that is important). It also involves reading existing documents "against the grain"—critically analyzing records created by dominant groups to uncover traces, hints, or inferable evidence of marginalized perspectives or experiences. The historical dominance of certain groups in

record-creating and record-keeping has led to systemic archival silences. This means researchers seeking to uncover these obscured histories may need to employ creative search strategies, look in unconventional types of records or repositories, and be highly critical of traditional narratives found in more easily accessible sources.

5. Ethical and Legal Frameworks in Archival Work

Conducting archival research carries with it significant ethical and legal responsibilities. Researchers must navigate complex issues related to copyright and fair use of materials, as well as respect the privacy and confidentiality of individuals whose lives may be documented in archival records.

5.1. Navigating Copyright and Fair Use

Copyright in archival materials is a particularly intricate area. Collections often contain a heterogeneous mix of published and unpublished works, materials with known and unknown authors, and items where the copyright might be held by the creator, their heirs, their employer, or another third party, rather than by the archival repository itself.

In the United States, Section 108 of the Copyright Act grants certain rights to qualified libraries and archives to reproduce and distribute copyrighted works under specific conditions. These include that the reproduction or distribution is not for direct or indirect commercial advantage, the institution's collections are either open to the public or available to unaffiliated persons doing research in a specialized field, and the reproduction or distribution includes the work's copyright notice (or a substitute statement if no notice is present).[34] These rights generally do not apply if the library or archive is aware of, or has substantial reason to believe it is engaging in, related or concerted reproduction or distribution of multiple copies of the same material, or if it engages in systematic reproduction (e.g., to substitute for a subscription or purchase).[34]

Under Section 108, qualified libraries and archives are permitted to [34]:

- Make up to three copies of an **unpublished work** currently in their collection solely for purposes of preservation and security, or for deposit for research use in another qualified library or archives. If such a copy is reproduced in digital format, it generally cannot be distributed or made available to the public outside the premises of the library or archives.
- Make up to three copies of a **published work** for the purpose of replacement if a copy is damaged, deteriorating, lost, or stolen, or if the existing format in which the work is stored has become obsolete, provided that an unused replacement cannot be obtained at a fair price.
- Upon request from one of its users or a user of another qualified library or archives (i.e., for **interlibrary loan**), make a copy of no more than one article from a periodical issue or a small part of any other copyrighted work. An entire work, or a substantial part of it, may be copied if the library or archives has first determined, after a reasonable investigation, that a copy cannot be obtained at a fair price. Such copies must become the property of the requesting user, the institution must have no notice that the copy

will be used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research, and the institution must display a copyright warning. This provision generally does not apply to musical works, pictorial, graphic, or sculptural works, or to motion pictures or other audiovisual works (other than audiovisual works dealing with news).

- During the **last 20 years of a work's copyright term**, reproduce, distribute, display, or perform a copy of such work for purposes of preservation, scholarship, or research, if the work is not subject to normal commercial exploitation, a copy cannot be obtained at a reasonable price, and the copyright holder has not provided notice to the contrary.

It is crucial for researchers to understand that Section 108 pertains to what *libraries and archives* are permitted to do. It does not replace the doctrine of **fair use** (codified in Section 107 of the U.S. Copyright Act), which is a separate and more flexible set of principles that researchers themselves can invoke to justify their own limited use of copyrighted material (e.g., quoting excerpts in a scholarly paper or dissertation) without needing explicit permission from the copyright holder.[35] Fair use considerations are highly fact-specific and involve balancing four factors: the purpose and character of the use, the nature of the copyrighted work, the amount and substantiality of the portion used, and the effect of the use upon the potential market for or value of the copyrighted work.

While archives can often make copies for preservation and research access under Section 108, this does *not* automatically grant the researcher the right to publish, publicly display, or widely distribute those copies. The researcher must independently consider whether their intended subsequent use of the copied material falls under fair use or whether they need to seek permission from the actual copyright holder(s). Assuming that everything found in an archive is in the public domain or is freely usable for any purpose is a significant error. Researchers should be proactive in trying to understand the copyright status of materials they wish to use extensively, especially in publications. Consulting with archivists about any known copyright information or donor-imposed restrictions is a good first step, but archivists typically cannot provide legal advice or definitively determine copyright status for all items in their vast collections.

5.2. Respecting Privacy and Confidentiality

Archival collections, particularly those containing personal papers, organizational records from the 20th and 21st centuries, or records pertaining to individuals, can hold a wealth of sensitive personal information. Researchers have a profound ethical obligation to protect the privacy of individuals, especially non-public figures, whose personal details may be found in these records, even if the records are technically "open" for research.

Archivists themselves grapple with privacy concerns during the processing of collections. They often develop internal procedures, sometimes detailed in processing manuals with tools like flow charts, to make consistent decisions about how to handle sensitive materials, whether such materials should be restricted from access, and if so, for how long.[36] Donor agreements may also stipulate restrictions on access to or use of certain materials, often to protect the privacy of the donor, their family, or third parties mentioned in the records.[15]

The definition of "sensitive information" and the appropriate duration of privacy restrictions

can vary significantly. Factors influencing these decisions include cultural norms, specific legal frameworks (e.g., HIPAA for medical records or FERPA for student records in the U.S.), the nature of the information itself (e.g., financial data, medical conditions, intimate personal correspondence, information about minors), and whether the individuals involved are still living or recently deceased.

Researchers must exercise careful judgment and ethical consideration when encountering such information. This often involves:

- **Anonymization or Pseudonymization**: When writing about individuals whose sensitive personal information is found in archives, researchers may need to anonymize identities or use pseudonyms, especially if the individuals are not public figures or if the information is particularly private.
- **Careful Consideration Before Publication**: Researchers should weigh the scholarly value of revealing sensitive details against the potential harm or distress it could cause to living individuals or their families.
- **Consultation**: Discussing potential privacy concerns with archivists, who may be aware of specific sensitivities or restrictions related to a collection, is always advisable.
- **Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)**: Depending on the nature of the research and the definition of "human subjects research" at the researcher's institution, projects involving the use of archival materials containing identifiable personal information may require review and approval from an IRB.

While archives like NARA have detailed privacy policies regarding the information they collect about *users* of their websites and online platforms [37], the ethical responsibility for handling sensitive information found *within* archival collections largely falls to the individual researcher. When in doubt, it is generally best to err on the side of caution and prioritize the protection of individual privacy, particularly when dealing with records pertaining to living individuals or their immediate families.

6. Citing Your Discoveries: A Guide to Referencing Archival Materials

Accurate and consistent citation of archival sources is essential for scholarly integrity, allowing other researchers to locate the unique materials upon which a study is based and to verify its findings. Archival materials are often unique and typically found in a single repository, making their citation different and often more detailed than citations for published works.[38] The primary goal of an archival citation is to guide another researcher precisely to the item's location within a specific archive.[38]

6.1. General Principles for Citing Unique Archival Sources

Regardless of the specific citation style used, certain core elements are generally required to ensure an archival source can be unambiguously identified and located. These common components typically include [38]:

- **Author or Creator**: The individual, family, or organization that created or compiled the

record(s).
- **Title or Description of Item**: If the item has a formal title (e.g., "Annual Report," "Letter from X to Y"), it should be used. If untitled, a concise description should be provided (e.g., "Meeting minutes," "Photograph of construction site," "Diary entry").
- **Date of Item**: The date (or date range) of the specific item being cited.
- **Name of Collection**: The formal name of the archival collection or *fonds* in which the item is located.
- **Series, Sub-series (if applicable)**: The name or number of any relevant series or sub-series within the collection.
- **Locational Information**: Box number, folder number or title, and sometimes item number.
- **Name of Repository**: The official name of the archive, library, or institution holding the materials.
- **Geographic Location of Repository**: City and state/country where the repository is located.
- **URL or DOI (if applicable)**: If the material was accessed online in digitized form, the persistent URL or Digital Object Identifier should be included. Some styles also require an access date for online materials.

Researchers should always record the fullest possible citation information at the point of research, directly from the materials and their finding aids.[21] This comprehensive record can then be adapted to the specific requirements of the chosen citation style during the writing process. It is far easier to omit unneeded elements later than to try and reconstruct missing locational details from memory or incomplete notes. Consulting the archive's own preferred citation format, if provided on its website or in its reading room, is also a good practice.

6.2. Overview and Examples of Common Citation Styles for Archival Items

Different academic disciplines and publications adhere to different citation styles. The most common styles encountered by archival researchers are the Chicago Manual of Style, the Modern Language Association (MLA) style, and the American Psychological Association (APA) style. It is important to note that the official manuals for these styles sometimes lack comprehensive or specific rules for citing every type of archival material. In such cases, researchers should apply the general principles of the chosen style logically and consistently, prioritizing clarity and retrievability.

Chicago Manual of Style (Notes-Bibliography System)
This system, widely used in the humanities, employs numbered footnotes or endnotes in the text, with a corresponding bibliography at the end of the work.[38]
- **General Format for Footnote/Endnote (first full citation)**: 1. Item's Title or Description, Date (Day Month Year), Accession Number or Collection Identifier, Box Number, Folder Number/Title, Collection Name, Name of Repository, Location of Repository, URL if applicable. Subsequent citations to the same item can be significantly shortened.

- **General Format for Bibliography**: Author's Surname, Author's First Name. Collection Name. Name of Repository, Location of Repository. OR Collection Name. Name of Repository, Location of Repository. Entries are listed alphabetically by author or collection name. Specific items are usually not listed individually in the bibliography if multiple items from the same collection are cited in the notes; the note contains the specific item details.[38]
- **Example (Letter, Footnote)**: 1. Emiko Terada to Laura Thomas, 30 August 1942, SPC. 2017.001, Box 1, Folder 3, James H. Osborne Nisei Collection, Gerth Archives and Special Collections, California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA, http://digitalcollections.archives.csudh.edu/digital/collection/p16855coll4/id/9951/rec/1. [39]
- **Example (Collection, Bibliography)**: Osborne, James H. Nisei Collection. Gerth Archives and Special Collections, California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA. [39]

Modern Language Association (MLA) Style

Also common in the humanities, MLA style uses parenthetical in-text citations (usually author's last name, though page numbers are often not applicable for unique archival items) and a "Works Cited" list at the end.[40] The MLA Handbook (9th edition) does not provide exhaustive rules for specific archival materials, so researchers should follow the general MLA format template, focusing on core elements and containers.[40]

- **General Format for Works Cited**: Author's Surname, Author's First Name or Initial(s). Title or Description of Material. Date of Material (Day Month Year format). Name of Collection, Collection Identifier, Box Number, Folder Number, Name of Repository, Location of Repository. URL if applicable. Accessed Day Month Year (for online sources). If the author/creator is unknown, the entry begins with the title or description. An item from an archive is usually part of a larger collection, which is treated as a "container".[40]
- **Example (Letter, Works Cited)**: Terada, Emiko [Amy]. Letter to Laura Thomas. 30 August 1942. James H. Osborne Nisei Collection, SPC. 2017.001, Box 1, Folder 3, Gerth Archives and Special Collections, California State University, Dominguez Hills, Carson, CA, http://digitalcollections.archives.csudh.edu/digital/collection/p16855coll4/id/9951/rec/1. Accessed. (Adapted from [41])
- **Example (In-text Citation)**: (Terada) or (Letter to Laura Thomas) if author is in text or unknown.

American Psychological Association (APA) Style

Common in the social sciences, APA style uses author-date parenthetical in-text citations and a "References" list.[43] The Publication Manual of the APA (7th edition) does not include specific rules for archival material, but the APA Style website provides supplementary guidance.[42]

- **General Format for Reference List**: Author, A. A. (or Organization Name). (Year, Month Day of item). *Title of material*. Name of Collection (Call number or other reference

code, Box number, Folder number). Name of Repository, Location of Repository. URL if applicable. The title is italicized. The description of material is in square brackets.

- **Example (Letter, Reference List)**: Miller, A. (1916, August 2). *Letter to his mother, Mary Peter Miller, August 2, 1916* [Letter]. Janet (Miller) Ayre Murray Collection (2.02.005, COLL-158). Archives & Special Collections, Queen Elizabeth II Library, Memorial University Libraries, St. John's, NL, Canada. [42]
- **Example (In-text Citation)**: (Miller, 1916) or Miller (1916) stated...

Consistency within the chosen citation style is paramount, especially given that official style guides may not cover every archival scenario. The core purpose of any archival citation remains the unambiguous identification and potential retrievability of a unique item within a specific repository. Therefore, elements like the repository name, collection name, and precise locators (box, folder, item ID) are non-negotiable components, regardless of stylistic variations.

Table 3: Comparative Examples of Archival Material Citations (Chicago, MLA, APA)
(Note: Examples are illustrative and may require adaptation based on specific item details and the most current style guide editions.)

Material Type	Chicago Style (Notes-Bibliography)	MLA Style (Works Cited & In-text)	APA Style (References & In-text)
Unpublished Letter	*Note:* 1. John Smith to Jane Doe, 15 June 1925, Folder 7, Box 3, John Smith Papers, Anytown Historical Society, Anytown, ST. *Bibliography:* Smith, John. John Smith Papers. Anytown Historical Society, Anytown, ST.	*Works Cited:* Smith, John. Letter to Jane Doe. 15 June 1925. John Smith Papers, Box 3, Folder 7, Anytown Historical Society, Anytown, ST. *In-text:* (Smith) or (Smith, Letter to Jane Doe)	*References:* Smith, J. (1925, June 15). *Letter to Jane Doe* [Letter]. John Smith Papers (Box 3, Folder 7). Anytown Historical Society, Anytown, ST. *In-text:* (Smith, 1925)
Photograph (untitled, known photographer)	*Note:* 2. Photograph of Anytown Main Street by Robert Jones, ca. 1910, PH-101.5, Robert Jones Photograph Collection, Special Collections, State University Library, University City, ST. *Bibliography:* Jones, Robert. Robert Jones Photograph	*Works Cited:* Jones, Robert. Photograph of Anytown Main Street. Circa 1910. Robert Jones Photograph Collection, PH-101.5, Special Collections, State University Library, University City, ST. *In-text:* (Jones) or (Jones, Photograph of	*References:* Jones, R. (ca. 1910). *Photograph of Anytown Main Street* [Photograph]. Robert Jones Photograph Collection (PH-101.5). Special Collections, State University Library, University City, ST. *In-text:* (Jones, ca. 1910)

	Collection. Special Collections, State University Library, University City, ST.	Anytown)	
Manuscript Draft (untitled)	*Note:* 3. Typescript draft of novel, chapter 1, [1952?], Series II, Box 12, Folder 3, Alice Brown Literary Manuscripts, National Literary Archive, Capital City, CC. *Bibliography:* Brown, Alice. Alice Brown Literary Manuscripts. National Literary Archive, Capital City, CC.	*Works Cited:* Brown, Alice. Typescript draft of novel, chapter 1. [1952?]. Alice Brown Literary Manuscripts, Series II, Box 12, Folder 3, National Literary Archive, Capital City, CC. *In-text:* (Brown) or (Brown, Typescript draft)	*References:* Brown, A. ([1952?]). *Typescript draft of novel, chapter 1* [Manuscript draft]. Alice Brown Literary Manuscripts (Series II, Box 12, Folder 3). National Literary Archive, Capital City, CC. *In-text:* (Brown, n.d.-a) *if date uncertain, or use year if known.*
Digitized Item from Archive Website	*Note:* 4. "Report on Water Quality," 10 January 1968, File 23, Record Group 5, City Engineer Records, City of Metropolis Archives, Metropolis, ST, http://metropolisarchives.org/rg5/file23/report.pdf. *Bibliography:* City of Metropolis Archives. City Engineer Records. Metropolis, ST. http://metropolisarchives.org/rg5/.	*Works Cited:* "Report on Water Quality." 10 January 1968. City Engineer Records, Record Group 5, File 23, City of Metropolis Archives, Metropolis, ST, http://metropolisarchives.org/rg5/file23/report.pdf. Accessed 15 May 2024. *In-text:* ("Report on Water Quality")	*References:* City of Metropolis Archives. (1968, January 10). *Report on Water Quality* [Government report]. City Engineer Records (Record Group 5, File 23). City of Metropolis Archives, Metropolis, ST. http://metropolisarchives.org/rg5/file23/report.pdf *In-text:* (City of Metropolis Archives, 1968)

7. Conclusion

Archival research is an indispensable methodology for scholars and researchers seeking to engage directly with the past. It is a rigorous undertaking that demands careful preparation, meticulous attention to detail during the research process, and critical analytical skills in interpreting and synthesizing findings. From understanding the foundational principles that define archival materials and the diverse landscape of repositories that house them, to

navigating the practical steps of formulating research questions, locating relevant archives, and deciphering finding aids, the journey is both challenging and deeply rewarding.

The critical engagement with archival sources—evaluating their authenticity and reliability, interpreting their content within historical and documentary contexts, and recognizing the inherent biases and silences they may contain—is central to producing nuanced and responsible scholarship. Furthermore, researchers must operate within established ethical and legal frameworks, particularly concerning copyright, fair use, and the privacy of individuals documented in archival records.

The ability to accurately and consistently cite unique archival materials is not merely a technical exercise but a fundamental aspect of scholarly communication, ensuring transparency and enabling future research. As the archival field continues to evolve, particularly with the proliferation of digital materials and the growing emphasis on community-centered and reparative archival practices, the core skills of critical inquiry, contextual understanding, and ethical engagement remain paramount. By mastering these principles and practices, researchers can unlock the rich potential of archives to illuminate the past, inform the present, and shape a more comprehensive understanding of the human experience.

8. Works Cited

1. [1] Georgia State University Library. (n.d.). *Archival Research*. Retrieved from https://research.library.gsu.edu/archivalresearch#:~:text=Archival%20research%20is%20research%20involving,audiovisual%20materials%2C%20or%20other%20materials.

2. [2] Georgia State University Library. (n.d.). *Archival Research*. Retrieved from https://research.library.gsu.edu/archivalresearch

3. [6] Seton Hall University Library. (n.d.). *What is a Primary Source? - An Introductory Guide*. Retrieved from https://library.shu.edu/primarysources#:~:text=A%20primary%20source%20is%20a,not%20been%20modified%20by%20interpretation.

4. [4] Association des archivistes diplômés de l'École des chartes et de l'Institut national du patrimoine (AEDAA). (2015, January). *4. La recherche en archivistique et les sciences de l'information*. Retrieved from https://aedaa.fr/2015/01/4-la-recherche-en-archivistique-et-les-sciences-de-linformation/

5. [5] Ducharme, D., & Couture, C. (1998-1999). La recherche en archivistique : un état de la question. *Archives, 30*(3-4). Retrieved from https://cora.archivistes.qc.ca/revuearchives/vol30_3-4/30-3-4-ducharme-couture.pdf

6. [9] Leonhardt, N. (2018, March 25). Rock 'n' Roll im Repositorium, oder: Arbeit im Archiv. *Forschung & Lehre*. Retrieved from https://www.forschung-und-lehre.de/forschung/ein-fuer-allemal-forscher-6832

7. [44] Historische Bauforschung. (n.d.). *Archivforschung / Historische Bauforschung*. Retrieved from https://historischebauforschung.de/archivforschung/

8. [11] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *Scope and Content Note*.

Retrieved from
https://www.archives.gov/research/catalog/lcdrg/elements/scope-and-content-note

9. [3] LIMBD. (2023, November 23). *Methodological Framework of Archival Research*.
Retrieved from https://limbd.org/methodological-framework-of-archival-research/

10. [10] Yale University Library. (n.d.). *Types & Formats of Primary Sources*. Retrieved from
https://primarysources.yale.edu/types-formats

11. [7] Nobles LibGuides. (n.d.). *Archival Research: What is an Archive?* Retrieved from
https://nobles.libguides.com/c.php?g=1351585#:~:text=Researchers%20use%20archival
%20materials%20to,in%20which%20historical%20events%20occurred.

12. [8] Othering & Belonging Institute, UC Berkeley. (n.d.). *Archival Research*. Retrieved from
https://belonging.berkeley.edu/archival-research

13. [13] College of Charleston Libraries. (n.d.). *Developing a Research Strategy - Introduction
to Archival Research*. Retrieved from
https://libguides.charleston.edu/c.php?g=562859&p=3875408#:~:text=Formulate%20qu
estions%20about%20the%20topic,be%20relevant%20to%20your%20topic.

14. [12] McMaster University Library. (n.d.). *The Archival Research Process Part 1: Before you
visit the archives - A Guide to Archival Research*. Retrieved from
https://libguides.mcmaster.ca/guide-to-archival-research/archival-research-process-pa
rt-one

15. [45] Taylor Research Group. (2019, February 27). *Our Favorite Online Archives and
Databases*. Retrieved from
https://taylorresearchgroup.com/news/our-favorite-online-archives-and-databases/

16. [14] Society of American Archivists. (n.d.). *Finding and Evaluating Archives*. Retrieved from
https://www2.archivists.org/usingarchives/findingandevaluating

17. [46] Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Union catalog*. Retrieved from
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Union_catalog

18. [47] Library of Congress. (n.d.). *NUCMC Home Page*. Retrieved from
https://home.uncg.edu/~lixlpurc/CIMP/BookWebHeader_files/NUCMCHomePage.htm
(Note: Original URL points to a UNCG mirror, actual LOC page for NUCMC is
loc.gov/coll/nucmc/)

19. [48] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *Home*. Retrieved from
https://www.archives.gov/

20. [49] USAGov. (n.d.). *National Archives and Records Administration (NARA)*. Retrieved from
https://www.usa.gov/agencies/national-archives-and-records-administration

21. [50] Society of American Archivists. (n.d.). *Finding and Evaluating Archives*. Retrieved from
https://www2.archivists.org/usingarchives/findingandevaluating#:~:text=Look%20for%2
0websites%20dedicated%20to,.com%2Fhome.do.

22. [15] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *How to Obtain Copies of
Records*. Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/research/order

23. [17] University of Florida Libraries. (n.d.). *Archival Processing: Finding Aid Notes*. Retrieved
from

https://guides.uflib.ufl.edu/archivalprocessing/notes#:~:text=Overview,detailing%20its%20importance%20and%20uniqueness.

24. [16] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *Finding Aid Type*. Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/research/catalog/lcdrg/elements/finding-aid-type

25. [20] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *How to Preserve Family Archives (papers and photographs) - Storing*. Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/preservation/family-archives/storing

26. [19] National Park Service. (n.d.). *Handling Archival Documents And Manuscripts*. Retrieved from https://www.nps.gov/subjects/museums/upload/19-17_508.pdf

27. [21] Dalhousie University Libraries. (n.d.). *Using the Reading Room - Guide to Archival Research*. Retrieved from https://dal.ca.libguides.com/c.php?g=257178&p=1717152

28. [22] Trent University History Department. (n.d.). *Research Strategies: Notetaking*. Retrieved from https://www.trentu.ca/history/programs/undergraduate/history-online-workbook/research-strategies-taking-notes-and-organizing-0

29. [23] National Archives Museum. (n.d.). *Photography Policy*. Retrieved from https://visit.archives.gov/visit/tips-guidelines/photography-policy

30. [24] Consortium Library, Archives & Special Collections. (n.d.). *Research room photography rules*. Retrieved from https://archives.consortiumlibrary.org/services/research-support/research-room-photograph-rules/

31. [25] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *Transcription Tips - Citizen Archivist*. Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/citizen-archivist/transcribe/tips

32. [26] Ditto Transcripts. (n.d.). *How Archival Transcription Can Help Your Archives*. Retrieved from https://www.dittotranscripts.com/blog/how-archival-transcription-can-help-your-archives/

33. [31] Barnard College Library. (n.d.). *Interpreting Archival Records - Archival Research at Barnard and Beyond*. Retrieved from https://guides.library.barnard.edu/archivalresearch/interpreting

34. [32] New York University Libraries. (n.d.). *Evaluating Sources - Using Archives & Manuscripts*. Retrieved from https://guides.nyu.edu/using_archives/evaluating-sources

35. [29] Slatta, R. W. (n.d.). *Analyzing Historical Documents*. North Carolina State University. Retrieved from https://faculty.chass.ncsu.edu/slatta/hi216/HI598/evidence.htm

36. [30] Hofstra University Library. (n.d.). *Evaluating primary and secondary sources - History Subject Resource Guide*. Retrieved from https://libguides.hofstra.edu/c.php?g=323882&p=2171901

37. [18] Portland City Archives. (n.d.). *Statement on Harmful and Bias Language in Archival Description*. Retrieved from https://www.portland.gov/auditor/archives/harmful-and-bias-language-statement

38. [33] Toronto Metropolitan University Library. (n.d.). *Silence and Bias in Archives - Archives*

and Special Collections. Retrieved from
https://learn.library.torontomu.ca/asc/silence-and-bias

39. [27] University of Illinois Springfield Learning Hub. (n.d.). *Synthesizing Research*. Retrieved from
https://www.uis.edu/learning-hub/writing-resources/handouts/learning-hub/synthesizing-research

40. [28] GradHacker, Inside Higher Ed. (2020, March 10). *Step-by-Step Synthesis*. Retrieved from https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/gradhacker/step-step-synthesis

41. [34] University of Michigan Library. (n.d.). *Copyright Basics: Libraries & Archives*. Retrieved from https://guides.lib.umich.edu/c.php?g=283105&p=6687926

42. [35] Oregon State University Libraries. (n.d.). *Exceptions for Libraries and Archives - Copyright and Fair Use*. Retrieved from
https://guides.library.oregonstate.edu/copyright/libraries

43. [36] History Associates Incorporated. (n.d.). *Privacy in the Archival Process | Code of Ethics for Archivists*. Retrieved from
https://www.historyassociates.com/privacy-the-archivists-dilemma/#:~:text=One%20way%20that%20archivists%20make,if%20so%2C%20for%20how%20long.

44. [37] National Archives and Records Administration. (n.d.). *Privacy and Use Policies*. Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/global-pages/privacy.html

45. [38] University of Regina Archer Library. (n.d.). *How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: Chicago*. Retrieved from https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/chicago

46. [39] California State University Dominguez Hills Library. (n.d.). *Citations for Archival Materials: Chicago*. Retrieved from https://libguides.csudh.edu/archives-citation/chicago

47. [40] University of Regina Archer Library. (n.d.). *How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: MLA*. Retrieved from https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/mla

48. [41] California State University Dominguez Hills Library. (n.d.). *Citations for Archival Materials: MLA*. Retrieved from https://libguides.csudh.edu/archives-citation/mla

49. [42] Memorial University of Newfoundland Libraries. (n.d.). *Subject Guides: APA citation style: Archival materials*. Retrieved from
https://guides.library.mun.ca/c.php?g=731741&p=5316458

50. [43] University of Regina Archer Library. (n.d.). *How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: APA*. Retrieved from https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/apa

51. [2] Combined results from multiple sources on archival research basics. (Internal synthesis for outline generation).

52. [3] LIMBD. (2023, November 23). *Methodological Framework of Archival Research* (Focus on formulating research questions). Retrieved from
https://limbd.org/methodological-framework-of-archival-research/

53. [10] Yale University Library. (n.d.). *Types & Formats of Primary Sources* (Summary of archival material types). Retrieved from https://primarysources.yale.edu/types-formats

54. [4] Association des archivistes diplômés de l'École des chartes et de l'Institut national du patrimoine (AEDAA). (2015, January). *4. La recherche en archivistique et les sciences*

de l'information (Summary of French archival theory). Retrieved from
https://aedaa.fr/2015/01/4-la-recherche-en-archivistique-et-les-sciences-de-linformati
on/

Works cited

1. research.library.gsu.edu, accessed June 7, 2025,
   https://research.library.gsu.edu/archivalresearch#:~:text=Archival%20research%2
   0is%20research%20involving,audiovisual%20materials%2C%20or%20other%20
   materials.
2. Why Archival Research? - Archival Research - GSU Library ..., accessed June 7,
   2025, https://research.library.gsu.edu/archivalresearch
3. Methodological Framework of Archival Research | limbd.org, accessed June 7,
   2025, https://limbd.org/methodological-framework-of-archival-research/
4. 4. La Recherche en archivistique et les sciences de l'information ..., accessed June
   7, 2025,
   https://aedaa.fr/2015/01/4-la-recherche-en-archivistique-et-les-sciences-de-linfo
   rmation/
5. La recherche en archivistique : un état de la question - Association des archivistes
   du Québec, accessed June 7, 2025,
   https://cora.archivistes.qc.ca/revuearchives/vol30_3-4/30-3-4-ducharme-couture
   .pdf
6. library.shu.edu, accessed June 7, 2025,
   https://library.shu.edu/primarysources#:~:text=A%20primary%20source%20is%2
   0a,not%20been%20modified%20by%20interpretation.
7. nobles.libguides.com, accessed June 7, 2025,
   https://nobles.libguides.com/c.php?g=1351585#:~:text=Researchers%20use%20ar
   chival%20materials%20to,in%20which%20historical%20events%20occurred.
8. Archival Research - Othering & Belonging Institute, accessed June 7, 2025,
   https://belonging.berkeley.edu/archival-research
9. Archivforschung: Ein für allemal Forscher? - Forschung & Lehre, accessed June 7,
   2025,
   https://www.forschung-und-lehre.de/forschung/ein-fuer-allemal-forscher-6832
10. Types and Formats | Primary Sources at Yale, accessed June 7, 2025,
    https://primarysources.yale.edu/types-formats
11. Scope and Content Note | National Archives, accessed June 7, 2025,
    https://www.archives.gov/research/catalog/lcdrg/elements/scope-and-content-n
    ote
12. The Archival Research Process Part 1: Before you visit the archives - A Guide to
    Archival Research, accessed June 7, 2025,
    https://libguides.mcmaster.ca/guide-to-archival-research/archival-research-proc
    ess-part-one
13. libguides.charleston.edu, accessed June 7, 2025,
    https://libguides.charleston.edu/c.php?g=562859&p=3875408#:~:text=Formulate
    %20questions%20about%20the%20topic,be%20relevant%20to%20your%20topi

c.

14. Finding and Evaluating Archives - Society of American Archivists, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www2.archivists.org/usingarchives/findingandevaluating

15. How to Obtain Copies of Records | National Archives, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/research/order

16. Finding Aid Type | National Archives, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/research/catalog/lcdrg/elements/finding-aid-type

17. guides.uflib.ufl.edu, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.uflib.ufl.edu/archivalprocessing/notes#:~:text=Overview.detailing%20its%20importance%20and%20uniqueness.

18. Statement on Harmful and Bias Language in Archival Description - Portland.gov, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.portland.gov/auditor/archives/harmful-and-bias-language-statement

19. Handling Archival Documents And Manuscripts - National Park Service, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.nps.gov/subjects/museums/upload/19-17_508.pdf

20. How to Preserve Family Archives (papers and photographs), accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/preservation/family-archives/storing

21. Using the Reading Room - Guide to Archival Research - LibGuides at Dalhousie University, accessed June 7, 2025, https://dal.ca.libguides.com/c.php?g=257178&p=1717152

22. Research Strategies: Notetaking - History - Trent University, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.trentu.ca/history/programs/undergraduate/history-online-workbook/research-strategies-taking-notes-and-organizing-0

23. Photography Policy | National Archives Museum, accessed June 7, 2025, https://visit.archives.gov/visit/tips-guidelines/photography-policy

24. Research room photography rules - Archives and Special Collections, accessed June 7, 2025, https://archives.consortiumlibrary.org/services/research-support/research-room-photograph-rules/

25. Transcription Tips - National Archives, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/citizen-archivist/transcribe/tips

26. How Archival Transcription Can Help Your Archives - Ditto, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.dittotranscripts.com/blog/how-archival-transcription-can-help-your-archives/

27. Synthesizing Research | University of Illinois Springfield, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.uis.edu/learning-hub/writing-resources/handouts/learning-hub/synthesizing-research

28. Step-by-Step Synthesis - Inside Higher Ed, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/gradhacker/step-step-synthesis

29. Analzying Historical Documents - People | College of Humanities and Social Sciences, accessed June 7, 2025, https://faculty.chass.ncsu.edu/slatta/hi216/HI598/evidence.htm

30. Evaluating primary and secondary sources - History Subject Resource Guide - LibGuides, accessed June 7, 2025,

https://libguides.hofstra.edu/c.php?g=323882&p=2171901

31. Interpreting Archival Records - Archival Research at Barnard and Beyond, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.library.barnard.edu/archivalresearch/interpreting

32. Evaluating Sources - Using Archives & Manuscripts - Research Guides at New York University, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.nyu.edu/using_archives/evaluating-sources

33. Silence and Bias in Archives - Archives and Special Collections - Research Guides, accessed June 7, 2025, https://learn.library.torontomu.ca/asc/silence-and-bias

34. Copyright Basics: Libraries & Archives - Research Guides - University of Michigan, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.lib.umich.edu/c.php?g=283105&p=6687926

35. Exceptions for Libraries and Archives - Copyright and Fair Use, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.library.oregonstate.edu/copyright/libraries

36. www.historyassociates.com, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.historyassociates.com/privacy-the-archivists-dilemma/#:~:text=One%20way%20that%20archivists%20make,if%20so%2C%20for%20how%20long.

37. Privacy and Use Policies | National Archives, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/global-pages/privacy.html

38. How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: Chicago - Archer Library - University of Regina, accessed June 7, 2025, https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/chicago

39. Citations for Archival Materials: Chicago - LibGuides - California State University Dominguez Hills, accessed June 7, 2025, https://libguides.csudh.edu/archives-citation/chicago

40. How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: MLA - Archer Library - University of Regina, accessed June 7, 2025, https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/mla

41. Citations for Archival Materials: MLA - LibGuides - California State University Dominguez Hills, accessed June 7, 2025, https://libguides.csudh.edu/archives-citation/mla

42. Subject Guides: APA citation style: Archival materials - Memorial University of Newfoundland, accessed June 7, 2025, https://guides.library.mun.ca/c.php?g=731741&p=5316458

43. How Do I Cite Archival Material Guide: APA - Archer Library - University of Regina, accessed June 7, 2025, https://library.uregina.ca/citing_archival_material/apa

44. Archivforschung - Historische Bauforschung, accessed June 7, 2025, https://historischebauforschung.de/archivforschung/

45. Our Favorite Online Archives and Databases - Taylor Research Group, accessed June 7, 2025, https://taylorresearchgroup.com/news/our-favorite-online-archives-and-databases/

46. Union catalog - Wikipedia, accessed June 7, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Union_catalog

47. NUCMC Home Page, accessed June 7, 2025, https://home.uncg.edu/~lixlpurc/CIMP/BookWebHeader_files/NUCMCHomePage.

[htm](#)

48. National Archives | Home, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.archives.gov/

49. National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) | USAGov, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www.usa.gov/agencies/national-archives-and-records-administration

50. www2.archivists.org, accessed June 7, 2025, https://www2.archivists.org/usingarchives/findingandevaluating#:~:text=Look%20for%20websites%20dedicated%20to,.com%2Fhome.do.